

Oxidative Coupling Reactions of Grignard Reagents with Nitrous Oxide**

Gregor Kiefer, Loïc Jeanbourquin, and Kay Severin*

Nitrous oxide (“laughing gas”, N_2O) is a potent oxidation agent, from a thermodynamic point of view.^[1] Moreover, it is an environmentally benign oxidant, because the side product is dinitrogen. An obstacle in using N_2O in oxidation reactions is the inert nature of the gas. Heterogeneous catalysts have been used with good success for the activation of N_2O , but high temperatures and/or pressures are typically required to achieve acceptable reaction rates.^[2] Thus far, N_2O -based oxidation reactions with homogeneous catalysts in solution have met with only limited success. Many transition-metal complexes are known to react with N_2O under mild conditions,^[3] but catalytic turnover is difficult to achieve. Some polyoxometalates^[4] and ruthenium complexes^[5] were shown to catalyze oxidation reactions with N_2O , but the reactions require high temperatures (100–200 °C) and often elevated pressures.^[6] Furthermore, the reported turnover numbers are modest (≤ 100). Herein, we describe oxidative carbon–carbon coupling reactions with N_2O , which can be performed under mild conditions with good selectivity and unprecedented turnover numbers.

Oxidative homo- and cross-coupling reactions of Grignard reagents^[7,8] in the presence of metal catalysts can be achieved with different oxidants, including 1,2-dihaloethanes^[9] and dioxygen.^[10] The reactions are believed to involve low-valent organometallic complexes.^[7–10] We hypothesized that these low-valent, nucleophilic complexes might be susceptible to oxidation by N_2O . As a model reaction, we studied the homocoupling of phenylmagnesium chloride. The reactions were performed in THF at room temperature under an atmosphere of N_2O using different transition-metal salts as potential catalysts (Li_2CuCl_4 , Li_2MnCl_4 , CoCl_2 , FeCl_3 , $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$). To avoid reactions caused by traces of dioxygen, we have used N_2O of high purity (99.999 %). Test reactions with metal salt (1 mol %) gave the oxidative coupling product biphenyl after 1 h in yields of 30–95 % (Table 1, entries 1–5). The best results were found for FeCl_3 (94 % yield), $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (94 % yield) and CoCl_2 (95 % yield). The latter two complexes were used for further studies.

First, we examined the efficiency of the reaction. Lowering the amount of catalyst from 1.0 mol % to 0.1 mol % had

Table 1: Metal-catalyzed homocoupling of phenyl magnesium chloride with N_2O or O_2 as oxidant.

Entry	Catalyst (mol %)	Oxidant	t [h]	Yield [%] ^[a]
1	Li_2CuCl_4 (1.0)	N_2O	1	30
2	Li_2MnCl_4 (1.0)	N_2O	1	32
3	CoCl_2 (1.0)	N_2O	1	95
4	FeCl_3 (1.0)	N_2O	1	94
5	$[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (1.0)	N_2O	1	94
6	$[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (0.1)	N_2O	1	94 ^[b]
7	CoCl_2 (0.004)	N_2O	18	83
8	$[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (0.1)	O_2	1	traces ^[c]
9	Li_2MnCl_4 (0.1)	O_2	1	9 ^[d]

[a] Yields were determined by GC-MS analysis. [b] The product was isolated in 92 % yield. [c] A 41 % yield of phenol was formed. [d] A 10 % yield of phenol was formed.

no effect on the yield. Further reduction to 0.01 mol % gave a poor yield in the case of $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$, even if the reaction time was prolonged. With CoCl_2 , however, the catalyst loading could be reduced to 0.004 mol % and biphenyl was still obtained in 83 % yield (Table 1, entry 7). Taking into account the small amount of product formed without catalyst (8 % after 18 h), and assuming that one catalytic cycle produces one biphenyl molecule, we can calculate a turnover number of 9.4×10^3 . This value greatly exceeds what has been reported thus far for metal-catalyzed oxidation reactions with N_2O in homogeneous solution.^[4,5]

The groups of Lei^[10c] and Cahiez^[10d] have shown that Fe complexes are able to catalyze the oxidative coupling of aryl Grignard reagents with O_2 . In the case of 4-methylphenylmagnesium bromide, a yield of only 46 % was reported for experiments using $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (5 mol %) under conditions related to our own (THF, room temperature).^[10c] The difficulty in performing oxidative coupling reactions with O_2 is due to the reactivity of the Grignard reagent itself towards O_2 . Consequently, the catalytic process has to be fast and high catalyst loadings are needed. This issue is illustrated by the attempted synthesis of biphenyl using O_2 and $[\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3]$ (0.1 mol %). Only traces of the desired coupling product were obtained and phenol was formed in 41 % yield (Table 1, entry 8). Li_2MnCl_4 is another catalyst that is known to promote the coupling of Grignard reagents in the presence of O_2 .^[10b,d] Good yields for aryl–aryl coupling reactions were reported with Li_2MnCl_4 (5 mol %).^[10d] When only a 0.1 mol % loading was used, however, this catalytic system failed to give an acceptable yield (Table 1, entry 9) and a 10 % yield of phenol was obtained. These experiments reveal an intrinsic advantage of N_2O over O_2 : as Grignard reagents are reluctant

[*] G. Kiefer, L. Jeanbourquin, Prof. K. Severin
Institut des Sciences et Ingénierie Chimiques, Ecole Polytechnique
Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), 1015 Lausanne (Switzerland)
E-mail: kay.severin@epfl.ch










[**] The work was supported by funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation and by the EPFL.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201302471>.

to react with N_2O ,^[1] metal-independent side reactions are less problematic.

Next, we examined the substrate scope. Aryl Grignard reagents containing different groups in the *para* position (CH_3 , F, OMe) or a sterically congesting methyl group in the *ortho* position could be coupled cleanly with $[Fe(acac)_3]$ (0.1 mol %; Table 2, entries 1–4) or $CoCl_2$ (Table S4). For reactions with $CoCl_2$, high turnover numbers of more than 10^3 could be achieved as well.

Table 2: Oxidative homocoupling of aryl and alkenyl Grignard reagents.^[a]

$2 R-MgX \xrightarrow[THF]{[M], N_2O} R-R$				
Entry	Catalyst (mol %)	t [h]	Substrate	Yield [%] ^[b]
1	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (0.1)	1		93
2	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (0.1)	1		92
3	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (0.1)	1		92
4	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (0.1)	1		99 (96) ^[c]
5	$CoCl_2$ (5.0)	1.5		87 ^[d]
6	$CoCl_2$ (5.0)	18		50 ^[e]
7	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (5.0)	18		32 ^[e]
8	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (1.0)	6		79
9	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (1.0)	1		77 (72) ^[c]
10	$[Fe(acac)_3]$ (1.0)	1		77

[a] Unless noted otherwise, reactions were performed at RT. [b] Yields were determined by GC or GC-MS analysis. [c] Yields of isolated products are given in parentheses. [d] The reaction temperature was 50 °C and the Grignard reagent was slowly added to the catalyst solution with a syringe pump (over 1 h). [e] The Grignard reagents were prepared according to a literature procedure, the reaction was started at –20 °C and the mixture was then slowly warmed to RT.

Mesitylmagnesium bromide, a sterically very demanding substrate, provided bimesityl in 87% yield with $CoCl_2$ (5 mol %) after 1.5 h at 50 °C (Table 2, entry 5). The success of this reaction is in sharp contrast to what has been reported for reactions with dioxygen: the attempted coupling of mesitylmagnesium bromide gave only traces of product, despite the fact that a relatively large amount (20 mol %) of Li_2MnCl_4 catalyst was employed.^[10b] The homocoupling of sterically demanding arylmagnesium halides is also not possible with the TEMPO-based method developed by the Studer group.^[11b,c] Comparable yields for the homocoupling of mesitylmagnesium halides were only achieved when stoichiometric amounts of 1,2-dihaloethanes^[9d,e] or 3,3',5,5'-tetra-*tert*-butyldiphenylquinone^[11d] were used as oxidants.

Aryl Grignard reagents with reactive ester or cyano groups were found to be more challenging substrates for our






N_2O based procedure. By increasing the catalyst loading to 5 mol %, it was possible to obtain the coupling products in 50% and 32% yield, respectively (Table 2, entries 6 and 7). Interestingly, $CoCl_2$ gave better results for the ester, whereas $[Fe(acac)_3]$ gave superior results in the case of the cyano-containing substrate (Table S4). The substrate 2-thienylmagnesium chloride coupled to produce 2,2'-dithienyl in 79% yield after 6 h with only 1 mol % of $[Fe(acac)_3]$ (Table 2, entry 8).

Alkenyl Grignard reagents also homodimerize readily: 2,5-dimethylhexa-2,4-diene and 2,3-diphenylbutadiene were both obtained from the corresponding Grignard reagents in 77% yield using $[Fe(acac)_3]$ (1 mol %; Table 2, entries 9 and 10). For 2,3-diphenylbutadiene, $CoCl_2$ was significantly less effective than $[Fe(acac)_3]$ (Table S4).

Attempts to homocouple sp-hybridized Grignard reagents failed, regardless of the catalyst employed (Table S2). Only low conversions were observed with $CoCl_2$, Li_2CuCl_4 , or Li_2MnCl_4 . Phenylethynylmagnesium bromide was consumed when iron(III) salts were present, but the yield of the homodimer was below 5%. The homocoupling of propynylmagnesium chloride was also not successful.

To date, there are few reports about the oxidative coupling of alkyl Grignard reagents.^[9a,c,10d,11e,12] These substrates are problematic because they are prone to undergo side reactions (such as eliminations, isomerizations, or, in the case of O_2 , reactions with the oxidant^[9a]). When we screened different transition-metal salts for the catalytic oxidative homocoupling of phenethylmagnesium chloride with N_2O , we found that manganese, iron, and cobalt salts led to considerable amounts of styrene (Table S1). Phenethylmagnesium chloride is a “difficult” substrate, because β -hydride elimination of the corresponding transition metal alkyl complex is particularly easy. However, with Li_2CuCl_4 (1.0 mol %), an 84% yield of the homocoupling product 1,4-diphenylbutane was obtained (isolated in 80% yield) and only traces of styrene were observed (Table 3, entry 1). The catalyst loading could be lowered to 0.5 mol % without compromising the yield, but further reduction to 0.2 mol % gave a yield of only 57% (Table 3, entry 2) and an elevated amount of styrene

Table 3: Oxidative homocoupling of alkyl Grignard reagents.

$2 R-MgX \xrightarrow[THF, RT]{Li_2CuCl_4 (x \text{ mol } \%), N_2O \text{ or } O_2} R-R$				
Entry	x [mol %]	Oxidant	substrate	Yield [%] ^[a]
1	1.0	N_2O		84 (80) ^[b]
2	0.2	N_2O		57
3	1.0	O_2		17 ^[c]
4	1.0	N_2O		85 (81) ^[b]
5	1.0	N_2O		78
6	1.0	N_2O		79
7	1.0	N_2O		44

[a] Yields were determined by GC or GC-MS analysis. [b] Yields of isolated products are given in parentheses. [c] Other products: 2-phenylethanol (58%) and styrene (4%).

(10%). As expected, it was not possible to replace N_2O by O_2 : when the reaction was carried out with Li_2CuCl_4 (1 mol %) under an O_2 atmosphere, a 58 % yield of 2-phenylethanol was obtained, along with a 4 % yield of styrene and only a 17 % yield of the coupling product (Table 3, entry 3).

Using N_2O , other primary (benzyl, *n*-decyl) and secondary Grignard reagents (cyclohexyl) could be coupled in yields of 78–85 % (Table 3, entries 4–6). The sterically demanding *tert*-butylmagnesium bromide gave a lower yield, only 44 % (Table 3, entry 7). The success of copper as a catalyst for these reactions is in line with the well-known propensity of organocuprates to undergo C–C coupling reactions upon oxidation.^[13,14] However, a stoichiometric amount of copper salts are typically used for these reactions.

Having established that homocoupling reactions of Grignard reagents can be achieved with N_2O , we examined whether this method is also suitable for cross-coupling reactions. Cahiez et al. have reported oxidative cross-coupling reactions between sp - and sp^2 -hybridized $RMgX$ compounds with O_2 as oxidant and Li_2MnCl_4 (20 mol %) as catalyst.^[10b] For some substrate combinations, they were able to achieve a good selectivity for the cross-coupling product.

First test reactions with two different sp^3 -hybridized or two different sp^2 -hybridized Grignard reagents yielded an almost statistic distribution of the possible coupling products. The formation of the mixed product could be favored by using a 1:2 ratio of the starting materials, but the final yield of the cross-coupling product was still below 50 %. A different behavior was observed for oxidative cross-coupling reactions between sp^2 - and sp^3 -hybridized Grignard reagents. The coupling of phenylmagnesium chloride with phenethylmagnesium chloride gave biphenyl, bibenzyl and diphenylbutane in the molar ratio 11:85:4, which is quite distinct from the statistical distribution of 1:2:1. Further optimization was achieved by lowering the reaction temperature to 0 °C and using a 1:2 ratio of the starting materials (Table S3). Under these conditions, it was possible to obtain the cross-coupling products of phenylmagnesium chloride and different primary (*n*-butyl, *n*-decyl, phenethyl) and secondary alkyl Grignard reagents (cyclohexyl) in yields of 59–83 % (Table 4, entries 1–4). In line with the low reactivity of *tert*-butylmagnesium bromide in the homocoupling reactions, the cross-coupling with phenylmagnesium chloride was not very efficient (Table 4, entry 5), whereas very good selectivities were obtained for oxidative alkenyl–alkyl cross-coupling reactions (Table 4, entries 6–8).

The results summarized in Tables 1–4 demonstrate that aryl, alkenyl and alkyl Grignard reagents ($RMgX$) can be efficiently coupled using N_2O as the oxidant and simple transition metal salts as catalysts. The mechanism of these reactions likely involves the formation of a diorganyl metal complex MR_2L_n , which undergoes a reductive elimination before or after oxidation by N_2O . The order of these two steps may depend on the substrate and the catalyst. In the case of iron-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of Grignard reagents with electrophiles, catalytic cycles shuttling between Fe^I/Fe^{III} , Fe^0/Fe^{II} or Fe^{-II}/Fe^0 have been proposed.^[15] At the moment, we do not have experimental evidence in favor of a particular scenario. For the oxidation of organocuprates, it has been

Table 4: Oxidative cross-coupling of Grignard reagents.

$R-MgX + R'-MgX$ (1 equiv) (2 equiv)		Li_2CuCl_4 (1 mol %), N_2O THF	$R-R + R-R' + R'-R'$ A B C		
Entry	t [h]	Product B	Yield B [%] ^[a]	A/B/C	
1	2	Ph– <i>n</i> Bu	59	8:84:8	
2	2	Ph– <i>n</i> decyl	61	11:80:9	
3 ^[c]	18		67 (57) ^[b]	5:52:43	
4	2		83	0:76:24	
5	2		16	53:31:16	
6	2		87	0:79:21	
7	2		82 (76) ^[b]	0:100:–	
8 ^[d]	2		65	0:66:34	

[a] Yields were determined by GC-MS analysis. [b] Yields of isolated products are given in parentheses. [c] The reaction was started at 0 °C and was then allowed to slowly warm to RT. [d] The *E/Z* ratio of the product (86:14) was similar to that of the starting material (89:11).

suggested that oxidation of a $[CuR_2]^-$ complex preceeds the reductive elimination,^[13] and a similar mechanism can be proposed for our system. Whitesides et al. had observed that the oxidation of $Li[CuPh(nBu)]$ with O_2 gave a nearly statistical mixture of biphenyl, phenylbutane, and octane.^[14a] Our catalytic cross-coupling reactions of aryl and alkyl Grignard reagents with N_2O , on the other hand, displayed good selectivity for the mixed product. Interestingly, we observed that the selectivity for the cross-coupling product was lower when higher catalyst loadings were employed (Table S3).

It is evident that N_2 is a likely side product for all N_2O reactions described above. To demonstrate that N_2O is indeed converted into N_2 during the catalytic cycle, we analyzed the gas headspace before, during, and after completion of the reaction of octylmagnesium bromide with Li_2CuCl_4 (1 mol %). The chromatograms clearly show the formation of N_2 (Figure S1). Along with N_2 , one expects the formation of equal amounts of MgO (Scheme 1). The latter can aggregate



Scheme 1. General reaction of Grignard reagents with N_2O .

with MgX_2 to form magnesium oxohalide clusters, such as $[(MgO)(MgBr_2)_3(solvent)_4]$ (*solvent* = solvent), which are known oxidation products of Grignard reagents.^[16]

In conclusion, we have shown that N_2O can be used as an oxidant for the oxidative coupling reactions of Grignard reagents with $[Fe(acac)_3]$, $CoCl_2$, or Li_2CuCl_4 as catalysts. For most reactions, catalyst loadings of 0.1–1.0 mol % were sufficient to obtain good yields. Some aryl–aryl coupling

reactions could be performed with less than 0.01 mol % catalyst loading. The corresponding turnover numbers are unprecedented for solution-based oxidation reactions with N_2O . Compared to alternative procedures with O_2 as the oxidant, our new method offers some important advantages: 1) It is possible to use lower amounts of catalyst, as N_2O is less prone to undergo metal-independent side reactions. 2) Sterically demanding arylmagnesium halides can be used as substrates. 3) Reactive alkyl Grignard reagents can be employed as substrates. 4) Aryl-alkyl and alkenyl-alkyl cross-coupling reactions can be achieved with good selectivity. These features should be attractive for applications in organic synthesis. But the implications of our work are possibly broader. The N_2O method is apparently compatible with a variety of transition metals (Fe, Co, and Cu). Complexes of these metals are used as catalysts in many other oxidative coupling reactions of nucleophiles,^[7] some of which are thought to involve low-valent organometallic species. It appears likely that N_2O can be used as oxidant for some of these reactions as well.

Received: March 25, 2013

Published online: May 13, 2013

Keywords: copper · Grignard reagents · homogeneous catalysis · iron · nitrous oxide

- [1] A. V. Leont'ev, O. A. Fomicheva, M. V. Proskurnina, N. S. Zefirov, *Russ. Chem. Rev.* **2001**, 70, 91–104.
- [2] a) G. I. Panov, K. A. Dubkov, A. S. Kharitonov in *Modern Heterogeneous Oxidation Catalysis* (Ed.: M. Noritaka), Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, **2009**, pp. 217–252; b) V. N. Parmon, G. I. Panov, A. S. Noskov, *Catal. Today* **2005**, 100, 115–131.
- [3] W. B. Tolman, *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, 122, 1034–1041; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, 49, 1018–1024.
- [4] a) H. Goldberg, D. Kumar, G. N. Sastry, G. Leitun, R. Neumann, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2012**, 356, 152–157; b) J. Etteedgui, R. Neumann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, 131, 4–5; c) R. Ben-Daniel, R. Neumann, *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, 115, 96–99; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 92–95; d) R. Ben-Daniel, L. Weiner, R. Neumann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, 124, 8788–8789.
- [5] a) A. G. Tskhovrebov, E. Solari, R. Scopelliti, K. Severin, *Organometallics* **2012**, 31, 7235–7240; b) H. Tanaka, K. Hashimoto, K. Suzuki, Y. Kitaichi, M. Sato, T. Ikeno, T. Yamada, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **2004**, 77, 1905–1914; c) K. Hashimoto, H. Tanaka, T. Ikeno, T. Yamada, *Chem. Lett.* **2002**, 582–583; d) K. Hashimoto, Y. Kitaichi, H. Tanaka, T. Ikeno, T. Yamada, *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, 922–923; e) T. Yamada, K. Hashimoto, Y. Kitaichi, K. Suzuki, T. Ikeno, *Chem. Lett.* **2001**, 268–269.
- [6] For solution-based oxidation reactions without metal catalysts, see: a) K. Banert, O. Plefka, *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, 123, 6295–6298; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, 50, 6171–6174; b) D. P. Ivanov, K. A. Dubkov, D. E. Babushkin, S. V. Semikolenov, G. I. Panov, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, 351, 1905–1911; c) I. Hermans, B. Moens, J. Peeters, P. Jacobs, B. Sels, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, 9, 4269–4274; d) E. V. Starokon, K. A. Dubkov, D. E. Babushkin, V. N. Parmon, G. I. Panov, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2004**, 346, 268–274; e) F. S. Bridson-Jones, G. D. Buckley, L. H. Cross, A. P. Driver, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1951**, 2999–3008.
- [7] a) C. Liu, H. Zhang, W. Shi, A. Lei, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 111, 1780–1824; b) J. Hassan, M. Sévignon, C. Gozzi, E. Schulz, M. Lemaire, *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, 102, 1359–1469.
- [8] For early investigations, see: a) G. M. Bennett, E. E. Turner, *J. Chem. Soc.* **1914**, 1057–1062; b) H. Gilman, M. Lichtenwalter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1939**, 61, 957–959.
- [9] a) S.-K. Hua, Q.-P. Hu, J. Ren, B.-B. Zeng, *Synthesis* **2013**, 518–526; b) K. Kude, S. Hayase, M. Kawatsura, T. Itoh, *Heteroat. Chem.* **2011**, 22, 397–404; c) Z. Zhou, W. Xue, *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2009**, 694, 599–603; d) G. Cahiez, C. Chaboche, F. Mahuteau-Betzer, M. Ahr, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 1943–1946; e) T. Nagano, T. Hayashi, *Org. Lett.* **2005**, 7, 491–493.
- [10] a) P. I. Aparna, B. R. Bhat, *J. Mol. Catal. A* **2012**, 358, 73–78; b) G. Cahiez, C. Duplais, J. Buendia, *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, 121, 6859–6862; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, 48, 6731–6734; c) W. Liu, A. Lei, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 610–613; d) G. Cahiez, A. Moyeux, J. Buendia, C. Duplais, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, 129, 13788–13789.
- [11] For the metal-free oxidation of Grignard reagents, see: a) M. S. Maji, T. Pfeifer, A. Studer, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, 16, 5872–5875; b) M. S. Maji, A. Studer, *Synthesis* **2009**, 2467–2470; c) M. S. Maji, T. Pfeifer, A. Studer, *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, 120, 9690–9692; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 9547–9550; d) A. Krasovskiy, A. Tishkov, V. del Amo, H. Mayr, P. Knochel, *Angew. Chem.* **2006**, 118, 5132–5136; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, 45, 5010–5014; e) T. Nishiyama, T. Seshita, H. Shodai, K. Aoki, H. Kameyama, K. Komura, *Chem. Lett.* **1996**, 549–550.
- [12] T. Nagano, T. Hayashi, *Chem. Lett.* **2005**, 34, 1152–1153.
- [13] S. S. Surry, D. R. Spring, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2006**, 35, 218–225.
- [14] For early investigations, see: a) G. M. Whitesides, J. SanFilippo, Jr., C. P. Casey, E. J. Panek, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1967**, 89, 5302–5303; b) C. Glaser, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.* **1869**, 2, 422–424.
- [15] R. Jana, T. P. Pathak, M. S. Sigman, *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 111, 1417–1492.
- [16] a) H. Vitze, H.-W. Lerner, M. Bolte, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. E* **2011**, 67, m1614; b) G. Stucky, R. E. Rundle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, 86, 4821–4825.